

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE Patent and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231

08/03/93

SERIAL NUMBER	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED APPLICANT			ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.
7/896,725	06/09/92	BARBARICH		Т	SPC89-
_		12M2/0803	\neg	SCHENKMAN.	EXAMINER
PATRIO	CIA GRANAH		,		
HAMILTON, BROOK, SMITH & REYNOLDS				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	ILITIA DRIVI GTON, MA 02:			1205	30.
_	•			DATE MAILED:	00100100

Below is a communication from the EXAMINER in charge of this application

COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS

ADVISORY ACTION

A TOO TOO TOO TOO TOO TOO TOO TOO TOO TO	
THE PERIOD FOR RESPONSE:	
is extended to run from the date of the Final Rejection	•
Continues to run 3/10 from the date of the Final Rejection	
expires three months from the date of the final rejection or as of the mailing date of this event however, will the statutory period for response expire later than six months from the date of the statutory period for response expire later than six months from the date of the statutory period for response expire later than six months from the date of the final rejection or as of the mailing date of this event however, will the statutory period for response expire later than six months from the date of the final rejection or as of the mailing date of this event however, will the statutory period for response expire later than six months from the date of the final rejection or as of the mailing date of this event however, will the statutory period for response expire later than six months from the date of the final rejection or as of the mailing date of this event however, will the statutory period for response expire later than six months from the date of the final rejection or as of the date of the d	
Any extension of time must be obtained by filing a petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a), the fee. The date on which the response, the petition, and the fee have been filed is the date purposes of determining; the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fe 1.17 will be calculated from the date that the shortened statutory period for response expire	of the response and also the date for the e. Any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR
Appellant's Brief is due in accordance with 37 CFR 1, 192(a).	
Applicant's response to the final rejection, filed	e following affect, but it is not deemed to
1. \square The proposed amendments to the claim and/or specification will not be entered and the final	rejection stands because:
 There is no convincing showing under 37 CFR 1.116(b) why the proposed amen presented. 	idment is necessary and was not earlier
b. They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search. (See No.)	ote).
c. They raise the issue of new matter. (See Note).	
d. They are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by material appeal.	ally reducing or simplifying the issues for
e. \square They present additional claims without cancelling a corresponding number of finally re	ejected claims.
NOTE: 2. Newly proposed or amended claims would be allowed if submitted in a sengeral or amended claims.	eparately filed amendment cancelling the
3. Dupon the filing of an appeal, the proposed amendment will be will not be, ente application would be as follows:	ered and the status of the claims in this
Allowed claims: Claims objected to: Claims rejected: However; a. The rejection of claims on references is deemed to be overcome by an one of the rejection of claims on non-reference grounds only is deemed to be overcomed to be overco	
4. The affidavit, exhibit or request for reconsideration has been considered but does not overce	come the rejection.
 The affidavit or exhibit will not be considered because applicant has not shown good and presented. 	sufficient reasons why it was not earlier
☐ The proposed drawing correction ☐ has ☐ has not been approved by the examiner.	1 1/2 0
☐ Other The Alcharation is most presenced by the examiner. ☐ Other The Alcharation is most presenced since lattice acquired in a lattice of the lattice of	e Alfferances
heticle isemers, whether reguering in	•
notivity on uduced will effect, and no	Level tabel
undruois,	LEONARD SCHENKMAN PRIMARY EXAMINER GROUP 1200